

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/534,927	0/534,927 08/24/2005		Pavel Jurik	CU-4209 BWH	3663	
26530	7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
LADAS &	PARRY	LLP	PAYNE, SHARON E			
224 SOUTH SUITE 1600		GAN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60604				2875		
				DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_AU				
		Application No.	Арр	licant(s)					
		10/534,927	JUR	IK, PAVEL					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art l	Jnit					
		Sharon E. Payne	2875						
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the corresp	oondence address					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ieriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mail come ABANDONED (35 U	ling date of this communication.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🗌 🛭 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·	,						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositio	n of Claims	•							
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.	•							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
* .	Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.								
8) [] (8	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	ent.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)∐ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•					
10) <u> </u>	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Exami	ner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				(d).				
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the at	tached Office Actio	n or form PTO-152.					
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f).					
1	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			his National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	•		•						
Attachment(s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-						
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>0905</u> .	5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent <i>F</i> ner:						

Application/Control Number: 10/534,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: 1) the phrase "the bearing inside ring" should be "a bearing inside ring" in line 3 of claim 4; 2) the phrase "the driver outer rim" should be "a driver outer rim" in lines 3-4 of claim 4; 3) the phrase "the bearing outside ring" should be "a bearing outside ring" in line 4 of claim 4; 4) the word "comprise" should be "comprises" in line 6 of claim 4.; 5) the word "dismountable-connection" should be "dismountable connection" in line 5 of claim 4 and line 3 of claim 5; and 6) the word "comprise" should be "comprises" in line 3 of claim 5. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It is dependent on claim 4, but the limitations of claim 5 are found in claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,927

Art Unit: 2875

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,601,973) in view of Niethammer (DE 2,263,689).

Regarding claim 1, Rasmussen et al. discloses a carrier disc (Fig. 1A) provided with circular apertures allowing for lighting of gobos (Fig. 1A, reference number 28) characterized in that each segment is provided with a lamella (Fig. 1a, top portion of reference number 22) for attachment in the holding means (Fig. 1a) with means for setting the segment on the carrier disc (reference number 26, Fig. 1a). Rasmussen et al. does not disclose the resilient holding means.

Niethammer discloses the resilient holding means (Fig. 1, middle portion with radial extensions) to support interchangeable segments with the gobos (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Neithammer in the apparatus of Rasmussen et al. to support a segment removably in a simple manner. See Fig. 1 of Niethammer.

Concerning claim 2, Rasmussen et al. does not disclose flexible fingers.

Niethammer discloses the holding means comprising a system of flexible fingers (Fig. 1, center) in a fan-shaped arrangement (Fig. 1), the fingers being at inside ends fixed to the carrier plate (Fig. 1, center) and on the outside free ends adapted to allow for insertion of the segment lamellas between the fingers and the carrier disc (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Neithammer in the apparatus of

Application/Control Number: 10/534,927

Art Unit: 2875

Rasmussen et al. to support a segment removably in a simple manner. See Fig. 1 of Niethammer.

Regarding claim 3, Rassmussen et al. does not disclose integrated fingers.

Niethammer discloses the fingers at their inside ends being integrated into one unit (Fig. 1, center) while the number of fingers within this unit corresponds to the number of segments to be supported by the carrier disc (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the configuration of Neithammer in the apparatus of Rasmussen et al. to support a segment removably in a simple manner. See Fig. 1 of Niethammer.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The prior art fails to disclose replaceable gobos with the following features:
- 1) each segment being provided with a bearing supporting a driver with a gobo, the bearing inside ring being provided for by the driver outer rim and the bearing outside ring being attached to the segment lamella by dismountable connection means as recited in claim 4; and

Application/Control Number: 10/534,927

Art Unit: 2875

2) the means for setting the segment on the carrier discs comprises dismountable connection means for attachment of the gobo bearing outer ring on the lamella as recited in claim 5.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sep

Sharon Payne
Patent Examine

Technology Center 2800

n layre

Page 5